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Sex work occurs in many forms and sex workers of all genders have been affected by HIV epidemics worldwide. The determinants of HIV risk associated with sex work occur at several levels, including individual biological and behavioural, dyadic and network, and community and social environmental levels. Evidence indicates that effective HIV prevention packages for sex workers should include combinations of biomedical, behavioural, and structural interventions tailored to local contexts, and be led and implemented by sex worker communities. A model simulation based on the South African heterosexual epidemic suggests that condom promotion and distribution programmes in South Africa have already reduced HIV incidence in sex workers and their clients by more than 70%. Under optimistic model assumptions, oral pre-exposure prophylaxis together with test and treat programmes could further reduce HIV incidence in South African sex workers and their clients by up to 40% over a 10-year period. Combining these biomedical approaches with a prevention package, including behavioural and structural components as part of a community-driven approach, will help to reduce HIV infection in sex workers in different settings worldwide.

Introduction

The HIV epidemic continues to have a profound effect on female, male, and transgender sex workers. The median worldwide estimates show that female sex workers (FSWs) are 13.5 (95% CI 10.0–18.1) times more likely to be living with HIV than other women, 15% of female HIV infections in 2011 were attributed to sex workers, with the highest attributable fraction in sub-Saharan African populations (17–8%). Substantial proportions of new infections (10–32%) occurred as a result of sex work in West African countries. In Uganda, Swaziland, and Zambia, 7–11% of new infections could be due to sex work, sex-worker clients, and clients’ regular partners. The UNAIDS 2015 goal of zero infections and discrimination will need effective HIV prevention strategies for those who sell or barter for sex in every region.

Sex work is diverse and occurs in various contexts around the world. Although some women sell sex through formal structures such as brothels or other venues, others might work independently and solicit clients directly in public places or via cell phone or internet. Tailoring of an effective, safe HIV prevention package for FSWs to account for the contexts in which they work and the particular risks they face is needed.

Here, we have focused on prevention interventions for FSWs and have defined sex work as exchange of sex for money or goods. Prevention options for men (Baral and colleagues) and transgender persons who sell sex (Potratz and colleagues) are reviewed in this Series. HIV prevention for women is a continuing challenge, and is an area where biology, physiology, gender dynamics, and behaviour have made HIV prevention research challenging, particularly in the subset of women who sell sex. We assessed interventions in three categories: biological, behavioural, and structural. Effective HIV prevention approaches for FSWs exist but have not been taken to scale or adequately resourced in most parts of the world. Additionally, we explored complementary strategies that can be added to a combination prevention package tailored for FSWs. An existing ecological model was modified to visualise multi-level domains of HIV risk for FSWs (figure 1). We present within these multi-level risks the evidence for biological, behavioural, and structural prevention interventions (table 1). In this model, we recommend that social justice principles are fully incorporated.

Key messages

- Effective HIV prevention approaches for female sex workers exist but have not been taken to scale or adequately resourced in most parts of the world.
- Prevention interventions should integrate principles of social justice and meaningfully include sex workers in programme design and implementation.
- Existing and effective prevention interventions include condom promotion, sexually transmitted infection prevention and treatment, HIV counselling and testing, gender-based violence prevention, and economic and community empowerment.
- Stigma and criminalisation form barriers to such interventions and a less punitive more enabling legal and medical environment is required.
- Modelling suggests that condom promotion may have already reduced incidence in sex workers and their clients by up to 70% in South Africa. Additional biomedical interventions such as pre-exposure prophylaxis or treatment as prevention could further reduce this by 40%.
- Both topical and oral pre-exposure prophylaxis have been proven to reduce HIV incidence in high-risk men and women. However, its effectiveness in sex workers has yet to be determined.
- Earlier initiation of antiretroviral therapy, with the requisite access to services is likely to benefit the health of sex workers and reduce HIV incidence in their clients and others sexual partners.
- New biomedical technologies must be additive to, and not replacements for, more established prevention modalities. Interventions that combine behavioural, biological, and structural factors have the potential to have the greatest effect on the health of sex workers, their clients, and the wider population.
integrated into any package of combination approaches and that FSWs are meaningfully included in all aspects of programme design and implementation.\textsuperscript{31-33} The prevention strategies enable FSWs to exert more control over their ability to prevent HIV. In addition to reducing infections in FSWs, these strategies will positively affect networks, communities, and country epidemics in different social, economic, and legal contexts.\textsuperscript{34} We modelled the effect of one such combination prevention package within the setting of the South African epidemic.

**Historical perspectives**

FSWs were a key affected population in the early decades of the HIV epidemic.\textsuperscript{14} HIV research with sex workers contributed to improved knowledge about host immunity in settings of recurrent infections\textsuperscript{35} and vaginal mucosal integrity during the first microbicides trials.\textsuperscript{20,21} Nonoxynol-9, a contraceptive product viewed as safe, was reported to be unsafe in sex work due to frequency of use and subsequent mucosal erosion.\textsuperscript{36}

In Thailand, the 100% condom campaign was more than condom distribution; community mobilisation, education, condom availability, consistent and universal use of condoms, sexually transmitted infection (STI) tracing in clients, and follow-up in brothels.\textsuperscript{21} This programme and subsequent programmes in Cambodia and elsewhere in Asia, showed marked population-level effects of interventions focused on safer sex practices in sex venues, including increasing condom use in sex workers and clients and reductions in other STIs in STI clinic attendees.\textsuperscript{22} Although HIV incidence was not directly measured in these programmes, ecological data suggest that they had significant effects on the trajectories of the Thai and Cambodian HIV epidemics.\textsuperscript{23} The appropriateness and sustainability of top-down structural interventions that did not stimulate community empowerment have been restricted over time and critiqued by the sex-worker rights movement. However, efforts to integrate the positive policy elements of these models with sex-worker participation and leadership have been successful in other settings such as in the Collective Commitment (Compromiso Colectivo) intervention in the Dominican Republic.\textsuperscript{24} Community-based combination prevention programmes in southeast Asia, Africa, and South America confirm that HIV can be controlled both within FSW networks and associated communities.\textsuperscript{25,26}
The first oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) trials in FSWs in Cambodia in 2004 and in Cameroon in 2005 were halted after participant disquiet about trial provisions. This led to a code of Good Participatory Practice Guidelines and a benchmark for community engagement in large prevention trials. Recent prevention efficacy trials have not specifically included or excluded FSWs and so the safety and effectiveness of these newer modalities for FSWs remains unproven.

Existing prevention strategies
Existing prevention strategies include behavioural and structural approaches, and sexual and reproductive health services, including condoms, counselling, testing, and supportive linkage to care for newly diagnosed FSWs. The most effective strategies have been within community-based programmes, which have intervened on the drivers of HIV transmission in FSWs including condomless sex, STIs, gender-based violence, unsafe working environments, and poor service usage due to stigma and discrimination.2

Condom provision
Sex worker projects worldwide show the feasibility of increasing condom use to decrease STI and HIV acquisition.3 In Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic, condom use and rejection of condomless sex increased because of workshops and meetings with sex workers, sex establishment owners and managers, and other employees, to strengthen collective commitment to prevention, particularly in supporting sex workers to use condoms with partners. These gatherings also focused on issues of trust and intimacy in condom use negotiation between sex workers and regular paying and non-paying partners.6,7 Interventions such as motivational interviewing have improved condom use and harm reduction in FSWs who also inject drugs.8

Greater success in uptake and adoption of condoms has been reported in sex-worker programmes than any other affected population. The latest UNAIDS report states that countries’ reported condom use at last commercial sex was high and improving; 44 countries reported higher median condom use at last sex in 2012 than in 2009 (85% vs 78%).1 Cost and access to condoms, and condom carriage used as evidence of sex work by police in some settings are examples of structural barriers that can undermine an effective intervention. Provision of water-based lubricant with condoms is also recommended, although less is known about the importance of the type of lubricant. Although the evidence for the preventive effect of female condoms is scarce, some studies have shown higher acceptability of female condoms in FSWs than other women.39,40 Furthermore, improving access to and reducing cost of female condoms and lubricant could increase overall condom usage.9 Condomless sex can be more lucrative for a FSW, resulting in greater risk-taking for financial reasons. To counter this issue, the role of cash transfers for HIV prevention in sex work is also being investigated. Cash transfer could operate on at least two levels: conditional on safer sex practices as contingency management, or as a way to reduce economic vulnerability thereby encouraging behaviours with social benefits.10 In the Zomba cash-transfer trial in Malawi, adolescent girls who received transfer money were less likely to have older sexual partners and had less frequent sex, resulting in lower rates of HIV infection.11 In the RESPECT study, beneficiaries were given rewards every 4 months for remaining free of curable STIs.12 After 1 year, the study recorded a 25% drop in the incidence of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk level</th>
<th>Type of intervention</th>
<th>Evidence in FSWs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, 4</td>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3, 4</td>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 4</td>
<td>Structural</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Biomedical, behavioural, structural</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>Biomedical, structural</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>Biomedical, structural</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>Biomedical, structural, behavioural</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, 2, 3</td>
<td>Behavioural</td>
<td>Direct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STIs. A pilot study is underway to explore cash transfers in male sex workers in Tanzania.52 Where the work networks are similar to those of the general population: poor awareness of services, distance to facilities, transportation costs, opportunity costs, time constraints, fear of a positive result with resultant discrimination, and loss of income.65–68 However, additional barriers unique to FSWs include fear of authorities, linked to sex work illegality, and confidentiality concerns, particularly status disclosure to other FSWs or potential clients.69,70 Several successful interventions have increased HIV counselling and testing in sex workers.71–75 Strengthened peer support and a supportive network are associated with the willingness of FSWs to engage in testing, care, treatment initiation, and adherence.76–79 Even when FSWs have access to health facilities, prejudice and poor quality of care are crucial determinants of their willingness to be tested.67–69 There are studies regarding the importance of affordable, sex-worker-friendly clinics, and their ability to attract and retain FSWs.79–81

**Gender-based violence interventions**

Violence against sex workers is not only widespread, but is also perpetrated, legitimised, and accepted by many, including law enforcement authorities, gatekeepers, managers, clients, and intimate partners.82 It undermines HIV prevention efforts and increases the vulnerability of sex workers to HIV transmission in several ways. Rape, forceful acceptance of condomless sex, sex with police to avoid arrest, and violence related to illicit drugs all could result in FSWs giving higher priority to their safety and survival than less immediate concerns such as HIV prevention.83 Interventions include sex-worker education on rights, community mobilisation to respond to violence and discrimination, practical warning systems in sex-work networks, sensitisation workshops with police and law enforcement authorities, and advocacy at community and policy level to promote human rights of sex workers.83

**HIV testing and counselling**

HIV testing underlies the implementation of nearly all other prevention approaches and serostatus knowledge is needed to tailor services to individual needs. However, mandatory testing could be counter-productive and violates rights—FSWs should be able to access HIV testing and counselling with the same privacy and protection as anyone else. WHO recommends at least annual voluntary testing for sex workers. In a review of 52 low-income and middle-income countries in 2010, the median percentage of FSWs who had tested for HIV in the last 12 months and knew their test results was 49% with wide variation across countries.84 Rates of HIV testing in sex workers throughout Africa are suboptimal with only 4% of sex workers surveyed in Somalia in 2008 ever-tested.85 Similarly, in Zimbabwe in 2011, where HIV prevalence in sex workers is about 50%, half of HIV-positive FSWs were aware of their status, only 30–40% of those eligible were accessing antiretroviral therapy (ART) and fewer than a quarter of those HIV-negative reported testing in the previous 6 months.86 Indications are that testing coverage in FSWs has improved in the era of ART access.82–85 Barriers to testing in FSWs are similar to those of the general population: poor awareness of services, distance to facilities, transportation costs, opportunity costs, time constraints, and fear of a positive result with resultant discrimination and loss of income.65–68 However, additional barriers unique to FSWs include fear of authorities, linked to sex work illegality, and confidentiality concerns, particularly status disclosure to other FSWs or potential clients.69,70 Several successful interventions have increased HIV counselling and testing in sex workers.71–75 Strengthened peer support and a supportive network are associated with the willingness of FSWs to engage in testing, care, treatment initiation, and adherence.76–79 Even when FSWs have access to health facilities, prejudice and poor quality of care are crucial determinants of their willingness to be tested.67–69 There are studies regarding the importance of affordable, sex-worker-friendly clinics, and their ability to attract and retain FSWs.79–81

**Control of STIs**

Bacterial and viral STIs can increase the efficiency of HIV transmission. Screening and treating FSWs for STIs could reduce HIV infections, although efficacy has been difficult to demonstrate.8 Bacterial STIs can be treated in sex workers and community-based randomised controlled trials in general populations have been undertaken.6–10 Only one community-based trial (the Mwanza Trial) done in East Africa showed efficacy of individualised syndromic management of STIs against sexual transmission of HIV with a reduction of 38% in HIV incidence.47,81 More than 12,500 individuals in the region were recruited to this trial and it was estimated there were about 1200 sex workers or bar workers in Mwanza town at this time.82 Where the burden of STIs is high, periodic presumptive treatment of curable STIs has been effective at reducing STIs but not HIV incidence in FSWs.83–85 WHO advises only temporary use of periodic presumptive treatment83–85 and periodic presumptive treatment has a greater effect on STI control in places where other aspects of control are poor and where FSWs have little access to preventive and curative services. Screening for asymptomatic STIs in FSWs can reduce STIs,86 but in settings where resources are scarce this is often not feasible. Syndromic management to reduce STI infection in FSW networks is problematic as most STIs are asymptomatic. This situation might be changing, however, as point-of-care STI diagnostics become more available and affordable.87 Clinical trials have not confirmed that herpes simplex virus (HSV) suppressive treatment would reduce the risk of HIV acquisition in HSV2-infected, HIV-uninfected women. No protective effect of acyclovir was reported, although some benefit was seen in a subset of women who took at least 90% of their antiviral doses.88–90 These studies were undertook in general populations with no specific enrolment of sex workers89 and in women who worked in venues such as bars and cafes in Tanzania where 26–61% of enrolled women reported recent sex in exchange for money.91 Poor adherence to bi-daily pills probably contributed to results. Similarly, for individuals co-infected with HIV and HSV2, treatment with daily acyclovir to suppress HSV2 did not reduce the risk of transmission of HIV to their partners.92
Vancouver, including in-room buzzers and corridor video surveillance.84–85

**Community empowerment**

Meaningful involvement of sex-worker communities in the design and implementation of prevention programmes is crucial. Community empowerment reduces the vulnerability of sex workers by peer-led collective action and self-help activities including education, health services, and advocacy on issues such as violence and work conditions.86–88 Interventions in programmes such as Empower Thailand include sustained engagement with local sex workers to raise awareness about sex-worker rights, the establishment of safe spaces, the formation of collectives that define the services to be provided, and outreach and advocacy.86 Community empowerment is associated with reduction in HIV and STI prevalence and increased condom use.89 Importantly, community empowerment is feasible to implement and take to scale, is highly acceptable to FSWs, and is safe.90 Participation of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population (median age)</th>
<th>Total number (women and transgender women)</th>
<th>Design and intervention</th>
<th>Relative reduction in HIV incidence ITT</th>
<th>Incidence reduction in women and transgender women</th>
<th>Definition of sex work or any associated risk behaviours at baseline</th>
<th>Percentage reporting at baseline</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners PrEP (Kenya and Uganda) (systemic PrEP)101</td>
<td>Heterosexual men and women serodiscordant (36)</td>
<td>474 (2283)</td>
<td>1:1:1 Oral TDF, TVD, placebo for negative partner</td>
<td>TDF 67% (95% CI 44–81), TVD 75% (55–87)</td>
<td>Sex work and transactional sex not asked; any sex with outside partner in the previous month</td>
<td>&lt;10% at baseline</td>
<td>Sub-analysis done in high-risk women. In subgroups of women with placebo group HIV incidence &gt;5%, efficacy estimates 64–84%.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF2 (Botswana) (systemic PrEP)102</td>
<td>Negative heterosexual men and women (25)</td>
<td>1200 (548)</td>
<td>1:1 Oral TVD, placebo</td>
<td>62% (22–83)</td>
<td>Sex work and transactional sex not asked; asked whether &gt;2 sexual partner in the last month</td>
<td>&lt;20% at baseline</td>
<td>No stratified analysis in specific risk groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Bangkok Tenofoxvir Study (Thailand) (systemic PrEP)103</td>
<td>Negative PWID, men and women (31)</td>
<td>2413 (489)</td>
<td>1:1 Oral TDF, placebo</td>
<td>49% (10–72)</td>
<td>Asked whether any casual or sex work partners in the past 3 months; &lt;1 partner in the last 3 months</td>
<td>Baseline 38% overall (men twice as much as women); &lt;20% overall</td>
<td>No specific data on sex work in participants for stratified analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEM-PrEP (Kenya, South Africa, Tanzania) (systemic PrEP)104</td>
<td>Negative women (24)</td>
<td>2120 (2120)</td>
<td>1:1 Oral TVD, placebo</td>
<td>No reduction</td>
<td>Asked whether sex exchanged for money and gifts in the last 4 weeks</td>
<td>12.6% at baseline</td>
<td>No significant relationship between transactional sex and HIV incidence or good adherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global iPrEx (USA, Brazil, Peru, Ecuador, Thailand, South Africa) (systemic PrEP)105</td>
<td>Men and transgender women (27)</td>
<td>2499 (all participants had to be born male, although 29% [1%] reported their present identity as female)</td>
<td>1:1 Oral TVD, placebo</td>
<td>44% (15–63; p=0.005)</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Asked whether any transactional sex in the last 6 months</td>
<td>41% at baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOICE (MTN 003) (Uganda, Zimbabwe, South Africa) (systemic and topical PrEP)106</td>
<td>Negative women (25)</td>
<td>5029 (5029) (2010 assigned to gel groups)</td>
<td>1:1:1 Oral TDF, TVD, TDF gel, placebo</td>
<td>No reduction</td>
<td>Asked whether any money, material goods, gifts, drugs, or shelter were received in exchange for vaginal or anal sex in the last year</td>
<td>6.1% at baseline</td>
<td>Qualitative work in those who reported sex work has been undertaken and will be reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPRISA 004 (KwaZula Natal, South Africa) (topical PrEP)107</td>
<td>Negative women (24)</td>
<td>889 (889)</td>
<td>1:1 Vaginal TDF gel coitaly dependent, placebo</td>
<td>39% (6–60)</td>
<td>Asked whether money ever received in exchange for sex</td>
<td>1.9% at baseline</td>
<td>Sample too small to do separate analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPTN 052 (USA, Brazil, Botswana, Zimbabwe, Malawi, Kenya, South Africa, India, Thailand) (TasP)108</td>
<td>Men and women serodiscordant couples (32)</td>
<td>3526 (1962)</td>
<td>1:1 Immediate vs delayed ART for positive partner</td>
<td>96% in linked sexual transmissions</td>
<td>Gender insignificant predictor of linked transmission</td>
<td>Transactional sex and sex work not asked; asked whether &gt;1 partner in the last 3 months</td>
<td>&lt;5% reported at baseline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In RCTs where sex work was not asked, alternative associated risk behaviours have been listed. PrEP=pre-exposure prophylaxis. TasP=treatment as prevention. ITT=intention to treat. TDF=tenofovir. TVD=emtricitabine and tenofovir (Truvada). ART=antiretroviral therapy.

Table 2: Completed PrEP and TasP studies, and outcomes by gender and participation of sex workers (if any)
FSWs—most famously illustrated by the Sonagachi Project in Northern Kolkata, India—has documented increased condom use and decreased HIV prevalence not only in FSWs but also in bridge populations.27–29 The Sonagachi Project invested substantial effort to define the problem of HIV prevention as a community issue and to align the short-term and long-term rewards for condom use as being in the economic best interests of all stakeholders and the sex workers.30 This programme and others show that sex-worker health outcomes can be enhanced when programmes encourage a sense of shared identity and camaraderie in sex workers, and address concerns beyond HIV and sexual health, including violence, stigma, and discrimination.27–29 Gender-responsive economic strengthening activities including vocational training, education, and micro-financing within empowerment programmes could also give FSWs control over vital economic resources, and reduce FSW vulnerability to HIV.31

Prevention taken to scale

HIV prevention interventions can be successfully taken to scale with potential to reduce HIV prevalence in FSWs. One such programme is the Avahan programme, launched in 2003 by The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation in six Indian states. The programme aimed to reduce HIV transmission and the prevalence of STIs in vulnerable high-risk populations, notably FSWs. It promoted prevention education and services such as condom promotion, STI management, behaviour change communication, community mobilisation, and advocacy.31 An important aspect of the Avahan programme has been its coverage, with an 80% target met within 5 years, resulting in demonstrable increases in condom uptake, and decreases in STIs and HIV.31 An example of scale-up from Africa is the Zimbabwean Sisters with a Voice programme, which is also community empowerment based, and is now present in 36 sites around the country, although studies on the effect of this programme are awaited.31 Effective scale-up needs commitment and sustained resources.31

However, it is possible that along with sustained resources, strategies that address determinants in addition to those listed above could be needed for maximum prevention effect.31,32 After the 100% Thai Condom campaign, for example, HIV prevalence levelled at about 10% in FSWs, ten times higher than the prevalence in Thai women from the general population.3

New prevention strategies

Combining the previous more established approaches with new, partially effective biomedical modalities is a potential new approach. In the last 3 years biomedical interventions that use antiretroviral drugs as prevention have become important. Antiretroviral drugs can protect uninfected individuals from acquiring infection (PrEP and post-exposure prophylaxis [PEP]), and can reduce infectiousness of infected partners (secondary prevention or treatment as prevention [TasP]). Pre-exposure and post-exposure antiretroviral drugs can be provided either as oral (systemic) tablets or vaginal or rectal (topical) gels or rings known as microbicides.35 The application of antiretroviral drugs for HIV prevention to FSW populations remains to be proven.

PrEP

Seven randomised controlled trials have examined antiretroviral drugs given to HIV-negative persons for HIV prevention (table 2).97–103 In four clinical trials including women from diverse geographical and risk settings, PrEP reduced HIV acquisition by 39–75%.97–100 None specifically enrolled FSWs, however in three of the trials 97,99,101 most of the women were unmarried, up to a quarter had many partners, and between 1·9% and 12% reported transactional sex at baseline. No significant relationship between transactional sex and HIV incidence or good adherence rate was noted in the FEM-PrEP study (J Headley, FHI 360, personal communication). The only other study in which transactional sex was reported was the Global iPrEx Study102 that included men who have sex with men—although all participants had to be born male, 29 (1%) reported their present
identity as female (table 2). Due to limited representation, no randomised controlled trials have specifically undertaken an efficacy sub-analysis in sex workers. Consequently, any application to sex work is based on extrapolation from a general female population, and product safety and the effect of the conditions associated with the nature of sex work (eg, frequency of sex and therefore the frequency of dosing of coitally dependent agents) on PrEP effectiveness is unknown.

A strong dose–response relationship between adherence to PrEP pill-taking or gel-use and HIV protection was shown (table 3). No HIV protection was reported in the two trials in which adherence to PrEP was lowest.109,110 By contrast, in the Partners PrEP (discordant couples) and iPrEx (MSM) studies, case-control analyses suggested that those using PrEP consistently had greater than 90% reduction in HIV risk.10,11 The Partners PrEP study undertook an analysis in higher risk subgroups within the Partners PrEP Study, including groups of higher risk women. High risk was defined by criteria including viral load of partner, unprotected sex, and younger age. In these subgroups, PrEP had consistently higher efficacy for HIV-1 protection.106,107

The most recent clinical trial of systemic PrEP included drug users in Thailand99 and had 2413 participants (about 20% were female). Participants were asked to report whether they had sexual intercourse with people other than their live-in partner including casual or sex work. This behaviour was reported in 38% of the participants (fewer women than men) at baseline (table 2). The HIV incidence reduction of 49% for those on PrEP is important because FSWs who inject drugs are often the most vulnerable (and marginalised) subgroup of FSWs.108 HIV prevalence in women engaging in both injection drug use and sex work is higher than in the general FSW population.109,110 Women had the best adherence in this study. Combination prevention packages, including harm reduction strategies and PrEP for FSWs who inject drugs, are promising.11,112

In studies where adherence was greatest, the positive findings support the biological effectiveness of PrEP for preventing HIV acquisition,69 but the trials with negative results suggest that PrEP was an unacceptable or unfeasible mode of prevention for some women. The reasons for this are unknown but some of the reported adherence barriers might be relevant to FSWs, including absence of support from family and partners. Whereas the possible role of low-risk perception by women might seem less relevant to FSWs, it is well known that intimate partners could present an unanticipated risk to FSWs, with data to show that FSWs are less likely to use condoms consistently with intimate partners.112,113 Acceptability studies (of hypothetical prevention products) have been done in FSWs and have shown favourable outcomes, but have also raised some concerns from sex workers, including STI risk, privacy, and cost.114,115

Topical vaginal gel applied during sexual intercourse in the CAPRISA 004 study was protective and levels of protection correlated with adherence.69 About 20 (1·9% of all trial participants) were self-reported sex workers in this study (table 2), too small a number for subgroup analyses. Coital application could suit women having intermittent sexual intercourse better than the more regular encounters that occur in sex work. Coital application might be an appealing dosing strategy for sex work, because the gel can also lubricate. The maximum frequency of application that would be safe in this setting is still unknown. Host biological factors could alter the activity of topical biomedical interventions; an analysis of HIV risk in women in CAPRISA 004 showed that despite adequate levels of vaginal tenofovir, women with higher systemic or mucosal immune activation, such as might occur with STIs, were more likely to become infected with HIV than women without evidence of activation.115,117 Studies in Kenyan sex workers have shown that resistance to HIV infection could be attributed to a balance of immune quiescence and a focused innate antiviral response.118

Additionally, complex questions regarding adherence and dual-protection remain. Demonstration projects that can assess the real-world effect of PrEP in sex workers beyond clinical trial settings are needed. This might include addressing concerns surrounding uptake, such as cost and side-effects, adherence barriers such as detention and reluctance to carry pills that could be stigmatising, and combining PrEP usage with condoms or other behavioural measures. PrEP is probably an important addition to HIV prevention in transgendered
FSWs in which the HIV transmission probability per sexual transaction is very high. Although PrEP as a user-controlled method might provide personal protection against HIV, STIs and unwanted pregnancy for FSWs remain a risk, especially if there is no option for condoms. The implications for other STIs and unintended pregnancy due to condom migration should be guarded against with the ancillary provision of information and sexual and reproductive health services. PrEP could be a potent additional choice for some FSWs, but not all. The challenge is to find ways that FSWs can identify suitability for themselves. In all clinical trials, condom usage increased and STI diagnoses decreased during the study, suggesting that PrEP could work synergistically with other prevention modalities; however, public awareness of PrEP could lead to increased demand for condomless commercial sex. PrEP should be part of a combination prevention package that is voluntary and includes condom promotion. As PrEP is introduced in sex-worker populations, community engagement, further behavioural and social science research, and careful programme monitoring and assessment will be needed. Important research areas are listed in table 4.

WHO and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have offered early guidance and have called for demonstration projects including all key affected populations. A variety of open-label and demonstration projects in women are on-going or imminent and a confirmatory vaginal gel study is underway at present. Zimbabwe has approved a PrEP demonstration project in FSWs, SAPPH-Ire, which commenced in 2014 and will be nested within the already well-established Sisters with a Voice Program (table 5).

PEP
PEP is most commonly used for needle-stick incidents and, increasingly, for sexual assault. Non-occupational PEP for sexual prevention has not been scaled up worldwide. Reasons for this include user reluctance (the need to access care within 72 h and continue treatment for 28 days, and the side-effects), and inadequate services (the need for testing and scarcity of PEP starter packs on demand). PEP is probably not scalable, practical, or sustainable as a sole intervention for sex workers, although it has a role in sexual assault and other episodes of unanticipated condomless sex. In a study from Kenya, PEP was well accepted by urban FSWs with greater than 10% requesting PEP at least once during the year after its introduction. However, PEP use was not associated with reduced HIV acquisition in this study.

Earlier treatment
Earlier treatment of HIV-positive FSWs can improve clinical outcomes and reduce transmission of HIV to their HIV-negative sexual partners, including clients. HPTN 052, a randomised controlled trial in serodiscordant couples, showed a 96% reduction in HIV transmission from HIV-positive individuals, treated earlier and virally suppressed, when compared with those in whom treatment was deferred. Importantly, 11 (28%) of 39 infections occurred as a result of relationships outside of the treatment dyad. This study

### Table 5: Trials in progress and planned, and demonstration PrEP (oral and topical) projects in women and female sex workers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical trials</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Design, product, and follow-up duration</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FACTS 001</td>
<td>2600 heterosexual women</td>
<td>Placebo RCT, 1% TDF gel, BAT 24</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Enrolling, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASPIRE</td>
<td>Heterosexual women</td>
<td>Placebo RCT, dapivirine vaginal ring</td>
<td>Zimbabwe, Malawi, Uganda, South Africa</td>
<td>Fully enrolled, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RING study</td>
<td>Heterosexual women</td>
<td>Placebo RCT, dapivirine vaginal ring</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Enrolling, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACTS 002</td>
<td>100 young women (aged 16-27)</td>
<td>Safety and acceptability, 1% TDF vaginal gel, BAT 24</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Under review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAMPS-SA PLUS PILLS PrEP</td>
<td>150 young men and women (aged 15-19)</td>
<td>Open label TVD oral</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Under review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-on and demonstration studies</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Design, product, and follow-up duration</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partners PrEP (post-placebo phase)</td>
<td>4747 heterosexual HIV serodiscordant couples</td>
<td>Randomised daily oral TDF vs TVD (unblended), follow-up 12 months</td>
<td>Kenya, Uganda</td>
<td>Fully enrolled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC 454/TDF2 open-label extension</td>
<td>1219 heterosexual men and women</td>
<td>Open label TVD, 12 months follow-up</td>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>Enrolling, results 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners Demonstration Project</td>
<td>1000 HIV serodiscordant couples</td>
<td>Open label, daily TVD oral as bridge to treatment in infected partner, follow-up 24 months</td>
<td>Kenya, Uganda</td>
<td>Enrolling, results 2014-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPRISA 008</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Open label, 1% TDF vaginal gel, BAT 24</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Results 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPPH-Ire FSW RCT</td>
<td>2800 FSWs</td>
<td>Open label, oral daily TVD</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Enrolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAPS: Expanded use of ART for treatment and prevention for female sex workers in South Africa</td>
<td>400 FSWs for PrEP, 300 FSWs for ART</td>
<td>Open label, PREP for negative FSWs and immediate ART for FSWs living with HIV</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Enrolling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCT=randomised controlled trial. TVD=emtricitabine and tenofovir (Truvada). TDF=tenofovir. PrEP=pre-exposure prophylaxis. BAT 24=one dose before sex, one dose after sex, but no more than two doses in 24 h. FSW=female sex worker.
did not enrol sex workers nor enquire about transactional sex, however these data suggest that encouraging HIV-positive sex workers to voluntarily access effective, comprehensive HIV services will improve personal health prognosis and might protect clients from acquiring HIV infection from sex workers (table 2). \(^{25,127-131}\) Reduced HIV transmission could have indirect prevention benefits within sex-worker networks. Available information on ART coverage, retention, adherence, and viral suppression in FSWs is restricted to only a few research settings in sub-Saharan Africa, North America, and Asia. These data suggest that FSWs can attain high levels of adherence and viral suppression, at least in the short term and in research settings. Some adherence concerns have been raised.\(^{132}\) Information on long-term outcomes and retention pre-ART are particularly sparse.\(^{133}\) FSWs might delay or be denied access to health care for reasons of stigma, cost, and victimisation, which can hinder adequate treatment outcomes, antenatal care, prevention of vertical HIV transmission during pregnancy,\(^{114}\) and the prevention of continuing transmission to clients. HIV services, including ART, that are acceptable, effective, and accessible for all FSWs have well documented individual and public health benefits.\(^{35,135,136}\)

**Modelling HIV prevention strategies: network level effect**

The interventions described here have proven or plausible potential to protect the individual FSW, but the effect of these interventions at a network or community level depends on the local epidemic and setting.\(^7\) To assess the probable effect of some of these newer HIV prevention strategies for FSWs, we developed a mathematical model applied to South Africa. South Africa has a severe HIV epidemic that is generalised and driven mostly by heterosexual sex. Our objectives were to gauge the extent to which commercial sex drives heterosexual HIV transmission; the effect of past changes in condom use on HIV incidence in FSWs and their clients; and the potential future effect of promoting oral or topical PrEP, and earlier ART to FSWs in South Africa. The model (described in the appendix) stratifies the population by age, sex, marital status, male circumcision status, and sexual risk behaviour. HIV-infected adults not on ART were divided into four CD4 groups further stratified by knowledge of HIV status. The probability of HIV transmission per sex act depended on the HIV disease stage of the infected partner, the sex and circumcision status of the uninfected partner, and the type of relationship (sex-worker client, short-term non-marital, or long-term marital). Rates of HIV transmission also depended on levels of condom usage, which were assumed to depend on the type of relationship. Rates of condom use were assumed to have increased over time, partly due to condom promotion programmes and partly due to reductions in unprotected sex after HIV diagnosis. The model was fitted to age-specific HIV prevalence data from South African antenatal and household surveys, and recorded mortality data.

The change in HIV incidence in FSWs and their clients over the period from mid-2015 to mid-2025 was assessed if new HIV prevention strategies were promoted to FSWs, alone or in combination. HIV prevention programmes include oral PrEP, topical PrEP (microbicides), and early ART together with 6-monthly HIV screening (a TasP strategy, in which all positive sex workers to voluntarily access effective, comprehensive HIV services will improve personal health prognosis and might protect clients from acquiring HIV infection from sex workers (table 2).\(^{25,127-131}\)

**Table 6: Commercial sex assumptions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of men who visit sex workers</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling factor for male rate of visiting sex workers(^{137})†</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative rate of visiting sex workers in married men(^{14})</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual number of clients per sex work(^{125,136})</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual rate of retirement from sex work(^{125,136})</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual rate of PrEP uptake in sex worker(^{14})</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average PrEP effectiveness(^{14,141,143})</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in condom use in women using PrEP(^{14})</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual rate of microbicide uptake in sex workers(^{135,144})</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average microbicide effectiveness(^{97})</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in condom use in women using microbicides(^{14})</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average time spent on PrEP and microbicides (years)(^{14})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART uptake in women with CD4 &gt;350 cells/μL(^{139})</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in infectiousness after ART initiation(^{95,135,145})</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SDs are shown only for those parameters that are included in the uncertainty analysis. Gamma priors are used to represent uncertainty around all parameters, except for those that are formatted as percentages (uncertainty is represented using beta prior distributions). †Further details in the appendix. ‡Based on fitting model to sex worker population size estimates.\(^{109}\) PrEP=pre-exposure prophylaxis. ART=antiretroviral therapy.
HIV-diagnosed sex workers were offered ART regardless of their CD4 count. Because of the uncertainty regarding rates of uptake, effectiveness, and risk compensation for the different prevention methods, an uncertainty analysis was done to assess the range of possible results. The distributions chosen to represent the uncertainty around each parameter are summarised in table 6; 1000 parameter combinations were randomly sampled from these distributions using Latin hypercube sampling. Assumed male rates of sex-worker contact and female rates of retirement from sex work were also included in the uncertainty analysis.

When fitted to South African data sources, our model suggested that in 1990 HIV transmission between FSWs and their clients accounted for 11% of heterosexual transmission in South Africa (IQR 8–14%). By 2010, this proportion had declined to 6% (IQR 5–8%). This was because transmission in high-risk groups accounted for a lower fraction of total transmission as the epidemic became more generalised, and condom use in FSWs and their clients increased more than in other relationship types. Increases in condom use accounted for a 65% reduction in the HIV incidence rate in clients in 2010 and a 76% reduction in HIV incidence rates in FSWs. Further details regarding the proportion of heterosexual transmission attributable to commercial sex and the effect of past increases in condom use are described in the appendix.

Oral and topical PrEP alone would have only a modest effect on HIV incidence in FSWs (figure 2). However, substantial variation occurs in the range of possible outcomes, with the assumed annual rate of PrEP uptake and PrEP effectiveness being the most important determinants of the percentage reduction in sex-worker HIV incidence over the 2015–25 period (figure 3A). Under pessimistic assumptions, if effectiveness was low (<10%) and sex workers using PrEP reduced their condom use by more than 10%, the net effect on HIV incidence in FSWs could be negative, although there would still be a positive effect on HIV incidence in clients if women using PrEP were tested regularly, because earlier diagnosis would reduce transmission potential. Under very optimistic assumptions (effectiveness >95% and rate of PrEP uptake >0.65 per person-year), PrEP could reduce HIV incidence in South African sex workers by 40% or more over the 2015–25 period (figure 3A). Further uncertainty analysis is presented in the appendix.

A TasP strategy in FSWs would have a moderate effect on HIV incidence rates in clients (but little effect in FSWs). It would reduce incidence in South African clients by 23% (IQR 19–28%) over the 2015–25 period (figure 2), which would provide an indirect prevention benefit to FSWs. The estimated effect was particularly sensitive to the assumed proportion of FSWs who chose early ART after diagnosis (before meeting standard eligibility criteria) and the assumed reduction in infectiousness after ART initiation (figure 3B). One reason why this intervention does not have a more substantial effect is that rates of HIV testing and ART initiation in South Africa are already high (the modelled proportion of HIV-positive sex workers receiving ART in 2015 is 60% before the introduction of the TasP strategy); if we assumed that no prevention or treatment strategies were available in South Africa before the TasP strategy, the predicted effect would be a 54% reduction in HIV incidence in clients (IQR 50–58%), with reductions as large as 70% if rates of early ART uptake and virological suppression were high.

Combining a TasP strategy with the provision of oral and topical PrEP, the model estimates a 25% reduction in HIV incidence in clients (IQR 19–32%) and an 11% reduction in HIV incidence in sex workers (IQR 7–15%) (figure 2). Further discussion of the model results and limitations are included in the appendix.
Combination prevention for FSWs: five intervention levels

Scale-up of potential interventions to mitigate HIV acquisition and transmission by FSWs includes factors other than the hierarchy of scientific evidence. Acceptability in the FSW community, cost, logistics, and potential side-effects are additional factors. 155,156

The design of an FSW-tailored HIV prevention package needs an approach that recognises all levels of risk, and consists of biomedical, behavioural, and structural interventions (figure 1). The epidemic context (risk level 5) in which the sex work occurs is an important determinant of HIV risk, and the importance of sex-worker-focused interventions depends on this context. 17 In South Africa we estimated that between 6% and 11% of adult HIV transmission is attributable to sex work, but in other regions where the HIV epidemic is more concentrated, FSW-specific interventions might be more important. For example, other models suggest that providing a topical gel to FSWs would reduce HIV incidence in the general population by only 9% in the South African context, compared with 48% in Benin 157 where sex work is estimated to account for more than half of HIV infections in men. 158 Previous modelling has shown that FSW interventions probably have less effect in mature epidemics than in early-stage epidemics. 155,156

The effect of promoting PrEP to high-risk groups is highly dependent on sexual mixing patterns in the population and levels of heterogeneity in HIV risk. 155 Our simulations suggest that TasP interventions could have less effect in settings where access to HIV testing and ART is already high. 2 Other modelling studies suggest that a high background level of ART coverage will probably increase the cost per HIV infection prevented by PrEP. 155 This implies that the benefit of promoting new prevention methods to FSWs is dependent on pre-existing levels of access to HIV prevention and treatment. Knowing the local epidemic and thus tailoring the response to it, is a fundamental step advocated by UNAIDS and increasingly adopted by national programmes. 17 The need for continuing epidemiological monitoring and specific FSW surveillance in each country is essential. 17

Any HIV prevention package must consider environmental or policy factors (risk level 4) that define the conditions in which sex is bought and sold. 155 These factors include the capacity of FSWs to choose and use products to protect against STIs, unintended pregnancy, HIV, and other infections. Other contextual factors include the criminalisation of sex work, and policies that govern the conduct of sex work, which define the ability of FSWs to access safe work places and confidential services. Local laws and policy, and cultural factors affect the levels of discrimination associated with accessing HIV services or selling sex while living with HIV. The contrast between a legalised indoors environment (where women can access appropriate occupational health services and are safer from violence) and the illegal street-based environment (where women experience constant violence and have high rates of drug use and health problems) is stark when considering what interventions could operate at risk level 4. These factors can be subject to rapid change in any one setting. Improved working conditions, reduction in police brutality, and empowerment of FSWs have been described because of policy reform and decriminalisation of commercial sex in New Zealand and are well described in this Series. 155,156

Community-based services and community advocacy, engagement, and mobilisation of the sex work community are essential (risk level 3). In conjunction with strong civil society and peer initiatives, these can reduce the stigma, discrimination, and marginalisation of FSWs, which are themselves determinants of risk. Participatory programmes that have behavioural and structural effects such as those seen in Sonagachi, Avahan, and other community-based programmes are examples. 27–29,165 Our model suggests that condom distribution and HIV communication programmes have already had a substantial effect on HIV transmission between FSWs and their clients in South Africa. These programmes in combination are estimated to have reduced HIV incidence in FSWs by 76% and clients by 65% in 2010. Similar success could have been achieved in other regions where levels of condom use are already high. A model-based assessment of the Avahan programme in southern India suggests that since it began, increases in condom use have reduced new HIV infections by 48–67%, 156 and similar reductions have been estimated when modelling the effect of Project SIDA in Benin, which has promoted condoms and STI screening in FSWs. 161 In 2007, the median proportion of FSWs who reported condom use with their last client was high in all regions, 166 suggesting that existing interventions in other regions might already have had an important effect on HIV incidence in FSWs and their clients, although this effect cannot be quantified in some settings due to scarce data on trends in condom use and HIV prevalence.

Network level factors (risk level 2) operate within social, sexual, and injection networks, and are poorly understood in the context of FSWs. Modelling studies have suggested that in some settings, prevention programmes that reach regular clients and managers could be important in reducing HIV incidence in FSWs, particularly when the average time spent in commercial sex is short. 156 Additionally, effective interventions at this level are particularly relevant to STIs and needle and syringe safety. Compounding factors that apply at the community and network level include ethnic origin, migration, citizenship status, literacy, economic security, marital status, drug use, social capital, and education—all factors strongly associated with HIV acquisition in FSWs.
We have already described a number of biomedical and behavioural interventions that reduce HIV risk at the individual level (risk level 1). Biomedical interventions under development such as longer-acting vaginal rings, long-acting injectable PrEP, and products that combine antiretroviral agents, contraceptives, or other anti-STI medications could facilitate adherence and enhance the prevention package available to FSWs in the future. Rectal microbicides could be of importance in sex work associated with anal sex. A source of uncertainty when considering the potential effect of oral and topical PrEP is the probable extent of risk compensation. Increased unprotected sex is less likely to attenuate the protective value of PrEP when individuals recognise their risk, want to use PrEP, and are motivated to be adherent.\textsuperscript{82} Data from the Partners PrEP trial show that after unblinding, individuals receiving PrEP were marginally more likely to have unprotected sex with individuals other than their study partner.\textsuperscript{14} Some modelling studies have also raised this concern.\textsuperscript{20–22} High-quality social and behavioural preparedness research is needed to track trends in condom use, and incidence of STIs and unwanted pregnancies.\textsuperscript{17}

Tailored combination prevention for FSWs should take into account the type of sex work. Some of the modalities might be easier to implement in specific settings (eg, 100% condom promotion initially had an effect in establishment-based FSWs). Reaching the poorest and most marginalised sex workers (eg, those who work on the street or at truck stops) still presents formidable challenges for the future.

Conclusions

Reducing HIV transmissions associated with sex work by making sex work safer both for the workers themselves and their clients are important components in achieving prevention services for all. This review gives evidence of an impressive array of already existing prevention modalities that can be combined and applied to reduce risk of HIV acquisition in FSW populations worldwide. New biomedical technologies, including topical and oral antiretroviral-based PrEP and earlier antiretroviral TasP, must be additive to, and not replacements for, more established prevention modalities.\textsuperscript{22–24} We also emphasise the paucity of information on the effectiveness in FSWs, particularly of the newer modalities. The Sonagachi\textsuperscript{25} and Empower Thailand\textsuperscript{26} programmes have shown the importance of community-led initiatives to ensure increasing resources are directed at a transformative change in behaviour. These include individual interventions such as condom use, and structural interventions such as law reform, protective policing, and comprehensive and voluntary services.\textsuperscript{24} High levels of coverage and usage of services, and quality and sustainability, are critical to maximise the effect.\textsuperscript{24,26–28} Inadequate financing for FSW HIV prevention programming is a crucial reason why HIV prevention coverage remains so low.

Notwithstanding sex workers’ disproportionate risk of acquiring HIV, prevention programmes for sex workers account for a meagre share of HIV prevention funding worldwide.\textsuperscript{24,28,29} In most regions, national governments have allocated few national resources to prevent HIV in sex workers, with international donors funding most of the HIV prevention efforts for this group.\textsuperscript{13} Our model simulations suggest that condom promotion and distribution programmes in South Africa have already reduced HIV incidence in FSWs and their clients by more than 70%. Expansion of voluntary, effective early treatment together with PrEP could further reduce HIV incidence in South African FSWs and their clients. Careful, consultative addition of these approaches in tandem to a tailored prevention package for sex workers that recognises and supports safe workplaces and respectful communities will go far in eliminating HIV infections, eradicating discrimination, and ending AIDS deaths.
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